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Abstract The study of a plain carbon steel (AISI 1020) in Na2SO4 aqueous solutions at different concentrations was carried out by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) in order to determine the corrosion mechanism and to obtain representative corrosion rates of the system. EIS was used to measure corrosion current densities at high concentrations in the range 0.1–1 wt% Na2SO4, but in the low concentration range, from 0.001 to 0.01 wt%, a scattered Nyquist plot was obtained. Other electrochemical techniques, such as polarization resistance (PR), Tafel plots and electrochemical noise (EN), were also used in this analysis. The charge transfer resistance was determined and compared with the PR and noise resistance.
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Introduction

One of the most important objectives of corrosion research is to provide information about the mechanisms of the corrosion processes that may be taking place and the determination of associated corrosion rates, and the efficiency of corrosion protection achieved by application of adequate materials (alloys and other non-metals), protective layers, coatings and inhibitors, etc. As corrosion processes are of an electrochemical nature, the electrochemical methods employed play an important role in corrosion research and testing. Several researchers have made significant contributions studying the electrochemical behaviour of metals immersed in diverse electrolytes with different ionic concentrations (or overall resistivities) [1].

After the work by Rojas et al. [2], a thermodynamic predominance zone diagram (PZD) can be constructed in order to determine the stability of the soluble species of interest to our work, as the result of the corrosion of steel in Na$_2$SO$_4$ aqueous solutions, according to the following reactions:

\[
\text{Fe}_{(s)} \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^{-} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{Fe}_{(s)} \rightleftharpoons \text{FeSO}_4^{(aq)} + 2\text{e}^{-} \quad (2)
\]

Previously, we have presented results [3, 4, 5, 6] concerning the electrochemical behaviour of plain carbon steel AISI 1020 in sulfate media, where we used polarization resistance, Tafel plots and electrochemical noise. For the latter, we analysed the data in the traditional form, making use of the Fourier transform and the maximum entropy method, also applying chaos data analysis [6, 7, 8] to obtain the Lyapunov exponent and the fractal dimension, and the morphology of strange attractors. The objective was to gather a significant amount of electrochemical information on the system, in particular as the metal plays a well-known role as a construction material in diverse media where the presence of sulfates has not been researched as deeply as we now propose.

Therefore, the present work aims to study the behaviour of the plain carbon steel AISI 1020 in sulfate media using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy to establish the rate-controlling stage of the corrosion mechanism.
Experimental

The electrochemical study of the carbon steel was carried out in a sodium sulfate electrolyte prepared with distilled water at several concentrations: 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4 and 1 wt%. The working electrodes were two cylindrical steel bars mounted in a epoxy resin of commercial manufacture, leaving a total exposure area of about 0.332 cm$^2$ (Fig. 1). Before the electrochemical measurements were done, the samples were ground with SiC paper 600 grit and mechanically polished down to 0.3 µm alumina to obtain a fine polishing. The samples were cleansed in distilled water and degreased in acetone.

The arrangement was such that the three electrodes, the working and reference electrodes, were short-circuited. Experimental control, data acquisition and manipulation were carried out by means of a potentiostat/galvanostat (Gamry Instruments, model PC3) linked to a PC and a lock-in amplifier (Stanford Research System, model SR810 DSP), controlled by software CMS100 (Gamry Instruments) (Fig. 2). The procedure for monitoring was as follows: the mounted samples were immersed in the solution and after 30 min the impedance was measured from an initial frequency of 100,000 Hz to a final frequency of 0.01 Hz, with an amplitude of 10 mV (r.m.s.) from $E_{corr}$. The measurement was repeated daily during a 7-day period. All experiments were carried out at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Table 1 contains the pH values corresponding to the different conditions studied in this work.

Results and discussion

Nyquist plots for the carbon steel immersed in the sodium sulfate solutions were scattered plots at low concentrations of Na$_2$SO$_4$ (lower than 0.1 wt%), which made the analysis of the data difficult. From this concentration it became feasible to analyse the corresponding Nyquist plot for the remainder of the concentration values (higher than 0.1 wt%).

The first parameter determined by both Nyquist and Bode plots was the solution resistance, $R_s$; as shown in Fig. 3, this parameter decreases as the Na$_2$SO$_4$ concentration increases, having the highest resistance values at low concentrations.

The Nyquist scattered plots at low concentrations become less scattered as the sodium sulfate concentration increased, as shown in Fig. 4. At low sodium sulfate concentrations (0.0, 0.001 and 0.01 wt%), the system Fe-H$_2$O-Na$_2$SO$_4$ seems to be formed as a pure resistor.
Fig. 1. Arrangement for the two working electrodes

Fig. 2. Electrode arrangement for the EIS technique

Table 1. pH values for the seven conditions evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration Na₂SO₄ (wt%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>6.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>6.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Variation of the solution resistance, $R_s$, as a function of Na₂SO₄ concentration
However, at high concentrations (higher than 0.1 wt%), a characteristic semicircle was obtained; in principle this semicircle can be attributed to the dissolution of iron.

In terms of the Bode diagram (Fig. 5), this behaviour can be seen as a horizontal line over the whole range of frequencies at low concentrations of sodium sulfate. From the concentration value of 0.1 wt% of Na$_2$SO$_4$ the spectrum obtained was that characteristic for the impedance modulus. At this point it became possible to establish the solution resistance and charge transfer resistance values.

Similar behaviour can be seen in the phase angle vs. log frequency plot as a function of the sodium sulfate concentration (Figs. 6 and 7). At low Na$_2$SO$_4$ concentrations (0.001 wt%), the value of the phase angle is practically zero, reaching the highest value (60$^\circ$ approximately) when the concentration of sodium sulfate was higher (1.0 wt%).

Frequently, a Randles circuit is proposed to simulate the impedance data corresponding to a simple charge transfer process [9]; this model is characteristic of those systems that have only one time constant. However, this is not the case in most of the laboratory impedance results. In the analysis of the EIS data, a Randles equivalent circuit with a constant phase element, CPE (Fig. 8), was used in order to analyse the impedance date obtained, as proposed by Brug et al. [10].

As previously mentioned, at low concentrations of Na$_2$SO$_4$ it was difficult to fit the EIS data to a simple equivalent circuit using a capacitor; instead, introduction of a CPE provides a better fitting for the impedance data. Figure 9 shows the EIS data fitted to a CPE corresponding to data shown in Fig. 4.

By introducing a CPE it was also possible to calculate the charge transfer resistance, $R_{ct}$, as well as the solution resistance, $R_S$; the latter are shown in Fig. 3. The variation of the charge transfer resistance as a function of the Na$_2$SO$_4$ concentration is presented in Fig. 10. The charge transfer resistance and the solution resistance calculated using a CPE are presented in Table 2.

Figure 11 is a qualitative comparison between the values for the polarization resistance and charge transfer resistance. As can be seen in the figure, the trend for both parameters is the practically the same. The highest values for these parameters were obtained at zero Na$_2$SO$_4$ concentration.

Figure 12 shows a quantitative comparison between the different techniques: linear polarization resistance (LPR), electrochemical noise (EN) and EIS. It is possible to note on this figure that the highest value for the polarization resistance was estimated by the EN
Fig. 4. Nyquist plots for the carbon steel immersed in a sodium sulfate solution. Top left: 0.0 wt%; top right: 0.1 wt%; bottom: 1.0 wt% concentrations.

Although the three techniques had a similar trend, they presented very different values. Theoretically, the technique that better describes the corrosion phenomenon is the weight loss because this represents the real conditions of corrosion. However, in this work we consider that the electrochemical technique that better describes the corrosion of iron immersed in Na₂SO₄ solutions is that which takes into account the ohmic drop. For this reason, the electrochemical spectroscopy impedance gives a good approximation to the real corrosion rates for the proposed system.
Corrosion mechanism

The morphology of the corrosion attack occurring on the surface of the mild steel after a 7-day exposure can be regarded as partially uniform. This attack started in isolated locations and spread laterally without developing any pits. Other authors, for example Thomas et al. [11], found that the corrosion process is uniform and the formation of a FeSO$_4$ ion pair complex is found in the presence of SO$_4^{2-}$ ions only. As pointed out by Gui and Devine [12], the effect of SO$_4^{2-}$ on the rate of oxidation of iron in mildly alkaline solutions results from its ability to form soluble complexes with either Fe$^{2+}$ or Fe$^{3+}$ ions. The cyclic voltammogram of iron in these solutions suggests that dissolution of the metal is the major anodic process [13]. Florianovitch et al. [14] found that sulfate ions accelerate the active dissolution of iron,
Fig. 7. Phase angle variation vs. log frequency as a function of Na$_2$SO$_4$ wt% concentration; seventh day of monitoring

Fig. 8. Randles equivalent circuit with a constant phase element. $R_S$=solution resistance; $CPE$=constant phase element; $R_{ct}$=charge transfer resistance

Fig. 9. EIS data fitting for the 0.4 (left) and 1.0 wt% Na$_2$SO$_4$ concentrations (right) using a CPE

and other workers [15] concluded that the films formed in sulfate solutions (pH≈7) result from supersaturated solutions of iron salts, most probably iron hydroxysulfate. This implies
Fig. 10. Charge transfer resistance, $R_{ct}$, variation vs. sodium sulfate concentration

Table 2. Solution resistance, $R_s$, and charge transfer resistance, $R_{ct}$, vs. Na$_2$SO$_4$ concentration, obtained using an equivalent circuit containing a CPE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Na$_2$SO$_4$ concentration (wt%)</th>
<th>$R_s$ ($\Omega$)</th>
<th>$R_{ct}$ ($\Omega$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14,886.85</td>
<td>195,528.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>14,238.57</td>
<td>45,770.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7352</td>
<td>15,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>848.9</td>
<td>11,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>484.9</td>
<td>11,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>8731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>172.4</td>
<td>8412</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Qualitative comparison between PR and the charge transfer resistance as a function of Na$_2$SO$_4$ concentration

that iron dissolves in sulfate solutions at a high rate, creating a supersaturated solution and allowing precipitation of a film. These films were found [15] to be nonprotective.

Under the study conditions evaluated here, oxygen reduction is the prevailing cathodic reaction, because all experiments were conducted under no-oxygen control conditions. At pH≈7 the oxygen reduction is expressed by the equilibrium:
On the other hand, the “air-formed film” may not be stable under the study conditions used here. After the polishing, the surface of the steel was in contact with air and then an “air-formed film” is present. However, as soon as the steel makes contact with the solution, this film is dissolved. This is demonstrated by the open-circuit potential values shown in Fig. 13.

Conclusions

The corrosion of mild steel in Na₂SO₄ solutions takes place according to:

For values $< 0.1$ wt% Na₂SO₄

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \text{FeSO}_4^{(aq)} + 2e^-$$

and:

For values $\geq 0.1$ wt% Na₂SO₄

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \text{FeSO}_4^{(aq)} + 2e^-$$

The corrosion rate of AISI 1020 mild steel increases significantly above 0.1 wt% Na₂SO₄.

EIS diagrams do not give relevant information about the proposed mechanism because at low concentrations a scattered Nyquist plot was obtained. The impedance diagrams shown a capacitive semicircle which is characteristic of a charge transfer process, especially for the higher concentrations studied; the behaviour is purely resistive for the lower concentrations.

$R_p$ values determined from EIS follow a behaviour very similar to than obtained by LPR and EN, showing an important decrease under 0.01% Na₂SO₄. Taking into account the ohmic
Fig. 13. Variation of the open circuit potential, $E_{corr}$, vs. time exposure: 0.0 wt% Na$_2$SO$_4$ (open circles) and 1.0 wt% Na$_2$SO$_4$ (solid circles)

...drop, EIS is the technique that better describes the corrosion of steel in Na$_2$SO$_4$ containing solutions.
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